LLS VECAS, NV 89169 TELEPHONE: 702,369,6800

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

GINA MICELI,

1	
1	ANTHONY L. MARTIN
	Nevada Bar No. 08177
2	anthony.martin@ogletreedeakins.com
	ERICA J. KELLY
3	Nevada Bar No. 12238
	erica.kelly@ogletreedeakins.com
4	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.
	Wells Fargo Tower
5	Suite 1500
	3800 Howard Hughes Parkway
6	Las Vegas, NV 89169
	Telephone: 702.369.6800
7	Fax: 702.369.6888
8	Attorneys for Defendants

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Plaintiffs,
vs.

CITIGROUP, INC. dba, CITIBANK;
JEFFREY DUNMIRE,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:15-cv-01962-GMN-VCF

## STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

(Second Request)

Pursuant to LR 6-1, 6-2, and 7-1, Plaintiff Gina Miceli ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Citigroup, Inc. dba Citibank ("Citibank") and Jeffrey Dunmire ("Dunmire") (collectively referred to as "Defendants") respectfully request an order from this Court extending the deadline for Dunmire to file his response to the Complaint ("Complaint"), pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.Pro. 6(b)(1), and LR 6-1, from December 7, 2015, up to and including, February 2, 2016, the same date Citibank's response is currently due.

Plaintiff's Complaint was filed on October 9, 2015 (Dkt. #1). Dunmire's response is due on or before December 7, 2015. On December 4, 2015, Plaintiff requested that Citibank waive service of summons in this action to save the unnecessary expense of serving a summons and complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(d). Citibank's response is due on or before Tuesday, February 2, 2016. Since Defendants will be filing a joint response, it would be

unnecessary for Dunmire to file a response prior to Citibank.

In addition, the parties are working on fulfilling their meet and confer obligations concerning moving the matter to arbitration in light of the Employment Arbitration Policy (the "ADR Policy"). Plaintiff's counsel has been out of the office due to a family emergency and the parties have been unable to have a meaningful meet and confer as to this issue, under no fault of either party. The parties intend to meet and confer over this issue before filing a response. Moreover, if the parties cannot come to an agreement concerning the ADR Policy, it would preserve judicial resources and additional unnecessary filings if Defendants can jointly respond to the complaint.

In light of the waiver of service and Plaintiff's counsel's unavailability due to the unforeseen circumstances, the parties agree that Dunmire may have up to and including Tuesday, February 2, 2016 to respond to the Complaint.

This is the second request for an extension. In an abundance of caution, Citibank was included in the Motion for an Extension to Respond to the Complaint – First Request because it was unknown whether the entity had been served.

## 

and is filed in good

1	This stipulation is filed before the response to the Complaint is due and is filed in		
2	faith and not for the purpose of unwarranted delay.		
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
4	Dated: December 4, 2015.	Dated: December 4, 2015	
5 6	LAW OFFICES OF TELIA U. WILLIAMS	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.	
7			
8 9 10 11 12 13	/s/ Telia U. Williams Telia U. Williams 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: 702.835.6866 Attorneys for Plaintiff	Anthony Martin Erica Kelly Suite 1500 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway Las Vegas, NV 89169 Telephone: 702.369.6800 Attorneys for Defendants Citigroup, Inc. dba Citibank and Jeffrey Dunmire	
14	ORDER		
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
16	II IS SO GRAPLAD.		
17	Contact		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
19	December 4, 2015 Magistrate  DATE		
20	1	JAIL	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
25 26			